[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 10 September 2026 22:38
To: Crown Casework
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: Formal objection: MOD Bicester Site A — PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843
Attachments: MOD_Bicester_Site_A_Formal_Representation.pdf

[redacted] [redacted]

Categories: [redacted]

Dear Crown Casework Team,

Please find attached my formal representation objecting to the proposed development at MOD Bicester Site A, application reference **PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843**.

I am a resident of [redacted], where I live with my family. I am concerned about the proposal's effects on our community and whether the published evidence adequately demonstrates that this location can support the development throughout its proposed ten-year use.

I ask that the Secretary of State refuse planning permission for the reasons detailed in the attached representation. If those matters are considered capable of resolution, I request that determination be deferred until the necessary evidence has been obtained, assessed and made available for meaningful public comment.

The representation identifies concerns about:

- Apparent inconsistencies between the drainage proposals and the assumptions underpinning the environmental impact assessment screening decision.
- Outstanding ecological investigations and the evidence needed to assess protected species and sensitive habitats.
- Road safety, pedestrian access and the completeness of the transport assessment.
- The deliverability of healthcare provision and consideration of equality impacts throughout the proposed use.
- The adequacy of the proposed controls, the current justification for urgent handling, and opportunities to scrutinise material further evidence.

Paragraph 1.3 also addresses the replacement National Planning Policy Framework published on 17 August 2026. The applicant's Planning Proposal Statement refers to the earlier framework. Please ensure that the decision assesses the proposal against the current national planning policies alongside the relevant development plan, and explains the implications of any material policy changes. Where this requires material new evidence or revisions to the scheme, I request a meaningful opportunity to comment before determination.

The attached document includes references to the application documents and relevant legal authorities. Please also treat it as a request to reconsider the negative EIA screening direction, for the reasons set out in section 4.

Please ensure that the complete attachment forms part of my representation and is placed before the decision-maker. I ask that the eventual decision address the substantive issues raised and explain how any outstanding concerns have been resolved.

I have copied Cherwell District Council and Piddington Parish Council for their consideration when preparing or supplementing their own responses. I recognise that the Secretary of State will determine this application and that my formal representation must be submitted directly to the Crown Casework Team.

Kind regards,

Tom Gant
[redacted]